



# **DIFFERENTIAL ROLE OF SLOW WAVE** AND RAPID EYE MOVEMENT SLEEP ON **MEMORY FORMATION: PRELIMINARY** RESULTS

## Moyano Malen D<sup>1</sup> & Forcato Cecilia<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Laboratorio de Sueño y Memoria, Departamento. de Ciencias de la Vida, Instituto Tecnológico de Buenos Aires (ITBA).

### INTRODUCTION

Sleep promotes offline consolidation and enhancement of recent memories<sup>1</sup>. During Non-Rapid Eye Movement sleep (Non-REM), recently acquired memories are reactivated in the hippocampus and transferred and redistributed in the neocortex<sup>1</sup>. This hippocampal-cortical 'dialogue' is mediated by temporal synchronization between cortical slow waves (0.5-4 Hz), thalamic spindles (12-15 Hz), and hippocampal sharp waves and ripples (80 Hz). Furthermore, Non-REM sleep favors memory consolidation, while it has been proposed that REM sleep promotes memory generalization and integration into existing networks<sup>2,3</sup>. To test this, we performed a two-day experiment. Participants were trained at midday (day 1) using a sound-word paradigm and immediately after they were allowed to sleep for 40 min, 90 min or to remain awake. One week later (day 8) they were evaluated.

#### METHODS

#### **Experimental Protocol**



**Memory Change** n<sup>o</sup> Correct responses Testing – n<sup>o</sup> Correct responses Training

**Normalized** =  $(n^{\circ} \text{ Correct responses Testing} - n^{\circ} \text{ Correct responses Training}) \times 100$ 

n<sup>o</sup> correct responses Training

#### **Polysomnographic recordings**

Sleep was recorded by standard polysomnography including electroencephalographic (EEG), electromyographic (EMG), and electrooculographic (EOG)) recordings with BrainAmp amplifiers (Brain Products). Data were recorded at a sampling rate of 250 Hz.

#### • EEG data processing

Data were bandpass-filtered between 0.16 and 35 Hz. Recordings were scored according to standard criteria<sup>4</sup>

#### • Power spectral analysis

Power density was calculated for Non-REM Artifact-free Non-REM epochs were sleep. divided into consecutive 10s blocks that overlapped 5 s in time. Each block was tapered by a Hanning window of 50% before applying Fast Fourier Transformation. Individual mean power density was averaged across central electrodes in the following frequency bands: slow oscillations (0.5–1 Hz), delta (1–4 Hz), slow spindle (9–12 Hz), fast spindle (12–15 Hz).

#### **Slow Wave detection analysis**

Count and density of slow waves in stage 2, Slow Wave Sleep and non-REM sleep through specific electrodes (frontal and central)<sup>5</sup>

PRELIMINARY RESULTS							
Group	Day 1	Day 8	Day 1. Training	Day 8. Testing	Day 8. Memory Change	Day 8. Normalized Memory Change	
TR-sleep40	Training Sleep 40 min	Testing	<b>ທ</b> ີ 30 -	ي 30٦			
TR-sleep90	Training	Testing		onse	<b>9</b> -5- 0 0	<b>b b b b c c c c c c c c c c</b>	
Preliminary	y sleep analysis		<b>G</b> 20- <b>S</b> 20		<b>Hemory Clark</b> -10- -15- -20- -0- -0- -0- -0- -0- -0- -0- -0- -	differences between groups in memory testing at day 8	

#### **Preliminary sleep analysis**

**Sleep stage analysis** 

Memory Change

Sleep stage (min)	TR-sleep40	TR-sleep90
Wake	1,77± 0,92	2,58 ± 1,14
S1	$13,10 \pm 2,25$	$16,54 \pm 3,07$
S2	28,33 ± 2,33	$50,00 \pm 3,98$
SWS	$5,77 \pm 2,44$	11,42 ± 4,13
REM	-	9,58 ± 1,17
Non-REM	47,30 ± 1,82	77,96 ± 3,61
Sleep stage (%)	TR-sleep40	TR-sleep90
Sleep stage (%) Wake	<b>TR-sleep40</b> 3,55 ± 1,87	<b>TR-sleep90</b> 3,02 ± 1,34
Sleep stage (%) Wake S1	<b>TR-sleep40</b> 3,55 ± 1,87 28,28 ± 4,27	<b>TR-sleep90</b> 3,02 ± 1,34 19,18 ± 3,17
Sleep stage (%) Wake S1 S2	<b>TR-sleep40</b> 3,55 ± 1,87 28,28 ± 4,27 58,77 ± 4,29	TR-sleep90 3,02 ± 1,34 19,18 ± 3,17 57,46 ± 4,35
Sleep stage (%) Wake S1 S2 SWS	TR-sleep40 $3,55 \pm 1,87$ $28,28 \pm 4,27$ $58,77 \pm 4,29$ $12,11 \pm 4,88$	TR-sleep90 $3,02 \pm 1,34$ $19,18 \pm 3,17$ $57,46 \pm 4,35$ $12,14 \pm 4,38$
Sleep stage (%) Wake S1 S2 SWS REM	TR-sleep40 $3,55 \pm 1,87$ $28,28 \pm 4,27$ $58,77 \pm 4,29$ $12,11 \pm 4,88$	TR-sleep90 $3,02 \pm 1,34$ $19,18 \pm 3,17$ $57,46 \pm 4,35$ $12,14 \pm 4,38$ $10,81 \pm 1,27$



TR-sleep40



The higher the time in Non-REM sleep and SWS, the smaller the memory decay at day 8.







TR-sleep90

25-

**20**·

Testing



The higher the time in REM sleep, the higher memory decay at day 8.



TR-sleep40 and TR-sleep90 groups pooled



**Slow Wave detection analysis** 





-25

The higher the percentage of SWS, the smaller the memory decay on day 8 for both nap groups pooled.

between the number of slow waves and memory performance on day 8.

density in Non-REM sleep, the smaller memory decay on day 8.

#### CONCLUSIONS

These preliminary findings suggest that Non-REM sleep favors memory consolidation of specific items while REM sleep increases confounding errors with the passage of time evidencing integration and generalization processes that could take place during this sleep stage.

### REFERENCES

<sup>1</sup>Rasch B, Born, J. (2013) Physiol Rev, 93(2):681-766.<sup>2</sup>Sterpenich V.et al.,. (2014). Sleep, 37(6), 1061-1075, 1075A-1075B. <sup>3</sup> Payne JD (2014). Sleep, 37(6): 1029–1030. <sup>4</sup>Rechtschaffen A, Kales A. (1968). Brain Information Service, Brain Information Institute, UCLA: Los Angeles, CA, USA. <sup>5</sup>Frederik D. Weber (2013) SpiSOP tool(box) https://www.spisop.org

#### CONTACT

mamoyano@itba.edu.ar https://esleepeurope.eu/