

The multivariate relationship between sleep quality, mental health, personality, and the brain with emotion regulation

Gerion M. Reimann¹, Vincent Küppers^{1,2}, Felix Hoffstaedter^{1,3}, Robert Langner^{1,3}, Simon B. Eickhoff^{1,3}, Masoud Tahmasian^{1,3}

¹Institute of Neuroscience and Medicine, Brain and Behaviour (INM-7), Research Centre Jülich, Jülich, Germany. ²Department of Nuclear Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Cologne, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany. ³Institute for Systems Neuroscience, Medical Faculty, Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany.

INTRODUCTION

- The two most studied emotion regulation (ER) strategies [1]:
- **1)** Suppression (inhibition of emotion expression) is associated with mental disorders (=maladaptive) [1].
- 2) Reappraisal (reinterpretation of emotional stimulus) relates to mental well-being and successful regulation (=adaptive) [1].
- ER utilization is differently associated with various domains such as mental health [2], personality [3], sleep quality [4], as well as brain structure [5] and function [6].
- Previous research mostly isolated and/or based on subgroups or experimental designs like sleep deprivation [4].
- Regularized canonical correlation analysis (rCCA) on the enhanced Nathan Kline institute - Rockland sample (eNKI).
 - Multivariate relationship.
 - \succ In general population.



- N = 192
- age range = 39-72
- mean age = 58.49 ± 9.29
- 140 females



- Function (fractional amplitude of low-frequency fluctuations, regional homogeneity)
- Structure (grey matter volume)

Figure 1: Method illustration. First, domains of interest and fitting participants were extracted from eNKI. Then, different combinations of the domains were used in rCCAs, from only a single domain to all domains and brain measures. Due to the large feature space of the brain measures each analysis including brain was done for each measure separately.







Figure 4: Brain loadings. Loadings of the first associative effect of the model including all domains and brain measures. Imagine & Pre-processing: Structural: TR = 1900 ms, TE = 2.52 ms, flip angle = 65° , voxel size = 1×1×1 mm, 176 slices, FOV = 250 × 250 mm; CAT 12.8.2. Functional: 10 min, eyes fixated on a cross; TR = 1400 ms, TE = 30 ms, flip angle = 65° , voxel size =

 $2 \times 2 \times 2$ mm³, 64 slices, FOV = 224 × 224 mm; HALFpipe.

- Generalizability is low for the sleep quality and brain \bullet single domain models.
- Adding brain measures to the behavioural models with good performance decrease their generalizability and



Figure 2: Generalizability and stability of the different rCCA models. Shown are the first associative effects. Abbreviations: fALFF: fractional amplitude of low-frequency fluctuations, GMV: grey matter volume; ReHo: regional homogeneity.

stability.

Anxiety and depressive symptoms as well as neuroticism are correlated with suppression and anticorrelated with reappraisal, while other personality traits show the opposite directionality.

CONCLUSION

- Mental health and personality traits are more strongly associated with the utilization of ulletsuppression and reappraisal, rather than sleep quality and brain features.
- The association follows a dimensionality in which the negative expression of a variable is correlated with suppression and anticorrelated with reappraisal supporting the classification as maladaptive and adaptive.

REFERENCES

[1] McRae & Gross, Emotion, 2020 [2] Larsen et al., The Journal of Early Adolescence, 2013 [3] Barańczuk, Personality and Individual Differences, 2019 [4] Palmer & Alfano, Sleep medicine reviews, 2017 [5] Hermann et al., Social cognitive and affective neuroscience, 2014 [6] Kohn et al., Neurolmage, 2014



https://esleepeurope.eu/

CONTACT

m.tahmasian@fz juelich.de gerionreimann@gmail.com